



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,894	05/16/20	02	Ralf Duckeck	10191/2142	6195
26646	7590 0-	4/15/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY				HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2144	·-
			, ,	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/019,894	DUCKECK, RALF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olga Hernandez	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2408					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/019,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Knockeart does not teach "only delta information representing required necessary deviation from a previously determined route for driving an alternative section of the route is transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation system." The examiner disagrees. Knockeart discloses a planned route where the vehicle based on certain information (delta information) has changed to a different route (off route), which is a section of the route transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation unit (paragraphs [0016], [0025], [0072]-[0074]).

Applicant argues that Drury does not teach "only delta information representing required necessary deviation from a previously determined route for driving an alternative section of the route is transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation system." The examiner disagrees. Drury discloses a planned route where the vehicle based on certain information (delta information) has changed to a different route (off route), which is a section of the route transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation unit (paragraphs [0179]-[0180]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/019,894

Art Unit: 2144

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drury (2004/0066330).

As per claims 8 and 10, Drury discloses transmitting information from a control center to an on-board vehicle navigation system in vehicle to prevent a traffic problem; distributing the motor vehicle traffic flow among a plurality of detour segments in a controlled manner when there is a traffic problem and a plurality of feasible detour routes are available (paragraphs [0179]-[0180]).

As per claims 6 and 9, Drury discloses determining a route in the on-board vehicle navigation system in a vehicle; transmitting information from a control center to the vehicle information system for use in providing optimized route planning, wherein only delta information representing required necessary deviations from a previously determined route for driving an alternative section of the route is transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation system (paragraphs [0179]-[0180] and figure 1).

As per claim 7, Drury discloses transmitting the current vehicle position, destination of the route and database version information to the control center to initiate optimized route planning (figures 1, 2, 3, 5, 22 and 24).

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knockeart et al (2004/0066330).

As per claims 8 and 10, Knockeart discloses transmitting information from a control center to an on-board vehicle navigation system in vehicle to prevent a traffic problem; distributing the motor vehicle traffic flow among a plurality of detour segments in a controlled malmer when there is a traffic problem and a plurality of feasible detour routes are available (paragraphs (00241, (01031, (01221, (01941, g0200), (02091, (02551, g0411))).

As per claims 6 and 9, Knockeart discloses determining a route in the on-board vehicle navigation system in a vehicle; transmitting information from a control center to the vehicle information system for use in providing optimized route planning, wherein only delta information representing required necessary deviations from a previously determined route for driving an alterative section of the route is transmitted from the control center to the vehicle navigation system (paragraphs [0024], [0103], [0122], [0194], (0200], (0209j, [0255], [0411] and figure 1).

As per claim 7, Knockeart discloses transmitting the current vehicle position, destination of the route and database version information to the control center to initiate optimized route planning (figures 1, 2, 3, 5, 22 and 24).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30am-6:00pm.

Art Unit: 2144

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on 571-272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Primary Examiner Art Unit 2144 Page 5